A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Once we do our closing, we go ahead and close at the end, then the 12 jurors will go to the jury room; and that's when the 12 jurors will talk about it, will go through all the six elements, and that's when the jurors will say, "Well, do you find him guilty or not guilty?"

 Okay. If somebody believes something, if somebody believes something else, of course, you keep on going back and forth.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Once you all have a verdict, then you all come back and you tell us -- if you tell us he's not guilty, then it stops right there.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. If you tell us that it's guilty, then we go on to the sentencing stage. And the sentencing stage is different for capital murder than the other crimes, okay? For capital murder what you need to do is you need to prove -- or you need to go through all those three questions.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You need to answer yes or no to all those three questions.
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Or -- an example, like if they do not prove

element number six, therefore we go back that he's not guilty of capital murder; would you agree with me?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. But if you find him guilty of murder, then the punishment range is from five to 99 or life which means that you can give him five or you can give him 99 or life, okay, or anything in between. Would you agree with me?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Would you automatically want to give somebody death just because it's murder?
 - A. Yes, I would.
 - Q. Even though the law states --
- A. Well, my opinion I would. But if it's the law, I have to go with the law.
- Q. Okay. And -- okay. If you do not find him guilty of capital murder and you do not find him guilty of murder, but you find him guilty of robbery, which are the lesser included offenses, okay, that means that you can sentence him to two to 20 or anything in between.

Because they proved robbery and they did not prove murder, so you found him guilty of robbery, would you be willing to sentence him from two to 20 years or anything in between for robbery?

A. From two to 20 years for robbery?

Anything in between. The least amount Yeah. 0. 1 you could sentence him to is two, the most is 20, or 2 anything in between. 3 If they found him quilty for robbery? Α. 4 Yeah. 0. 5 Yeah, I would. 6 Α. Okay. Let's go back to capital murder. 7 Q. spoke to you or Mr. Blaylock spoke to you that those are 8 the three questions that need to be answered. 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. Okay. The very first question, "Is there a 0. 11 probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts 12 of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to 13 society?" This is asking you to look into the future. 14 Α. Yeah. 15 Okay. And you don't know what the future Ο. 16 17 brings. No, sir. 18 Α. But you stated you would look into his 19 0. 20 background. Α. Uh-huh. 21 Okay. If a person committed murder, like an 22 Ο.

example capital murder, and they did not have any prior

criminal record, would you automatically want to give

23

24

25

them the death penalty?

Yeah, because why would we give him the right 1 Α. to take somebody else's life? 2. All right. Just because they took away 3 Q. somebody else's life --4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. -- you would want to give him the death 6 Q. 7 penalty? Yes, sir. But if the law requires not to give Α. 8 him -- you know, for something else, well --9 Well, in capital murder it's either going to be 10 Ο. life or death. It's one or the other. 11 12 Α. Uh-huh. So in Question Number 1 they're asking you, "Is 13 0. there a probability that this person is going to commit 14 other criminal acts of violence?" 15 Yes, probably. 16 Α. Criminal acts of violence, I believe you stated 17 Ο. is like assault? 18 19 Α. Yes. All right. Would you agree with me that a 20 Q. criminal act of violence is an act maybe against another 21 22 person? What do you mean act? 23 Α. Like an example, if you go and I push you, 24 Ο. that's an act of violence. 25

```
Yes, sir.
 1
          Α.
               Okay. If I go and if I have a D.W.I., would
 2
          0.
     that be an act of violence to you?
 3
               D.W.I. and if you did what? You were just
          Α.
 4
     drinking, driving while intoxicated?
 5
               Yeah. Would that be an act of violence to you?
 6
          Ο.
 7
          Α.
               That would be -- act of violence, it wouldn't
     be an act of violence. It would be something dumb. You
 8
     shouldn't be driving while intoxicated.
 9
               Okay. How about burglary of a habitation,
10
     would that be a criminal act of violence to you?
11
               Criminal violence?
12
          Α.
             Criminal act of violence.
13
          Ο.
               It depends if somebody's in there. If there's
14
          Α.
15
     not somebody in there --
               There's nobody in there.
16
          Ο.
               It's just burglary.
17
          Α.
               So you would agree with me that a criminal act
18
          0.
     of violence is something that's done mainly against
19
     another person?
20
21
          Α.
               Yeah.
               Are you willing to go ahead and follow that?
22
          Q.
               The rules, yeah.
23
          Α.
               Are you willing to take that into
24
          0.
     consideration?
25
```

- A. The things right here in the chart? Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. If -- well, let me go into Special Issue Number 2 which is Question Number 2. This mainly talks about the law of parties. What it says is, "Do you find from the evidence --" and it's missing up there, "beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant actually caused the death of the individual; or if he did not actually cause the death, that he intended that the person be killed; or number three, that he anticipated that a human life would be taken?"

Let's go into a hypothetical, the Circle K example. Me and my spouse go in there. At that point we both talk about taking some money. We both take a gun and we both say, "Well, if we go in there and if somebody gives us a hard time, if the clerk doesn't want to give us the money, we'll just go ahead and kill that person."

Do you follow me so far?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If we both went in there and I killed the clerk, we took the money and we left. There was nobody else in there except us two, my spouse and I, and the clerk, okay? So we left. Did I actually cause the death of the person, of the clerk?
 - A. You? You shot him, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Did my spouse actually cause the death

```
of the person?
 1
               Actually -- what do they call it? What was it?
 2
          Α.
               Did she actually kill the person?
 3
          Ο.
               Not actually, but she knew you were going to
 4
          Α.
     end up doing something.
 5
               So that takes us into question number two.
                                                            Did
 6
          0.
     I intend that somebody might be killed?
 7
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
 8
               Did I intend to kill somebody?
 9
          Ο.
10
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
               Did my spouse intend that somebody might be
11
          Q.
12
     killed?
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
13
               Question number three, did I anticipate that a
14
          Ο.
     human life would be taken?
15
               Yes, sir.
16
          Α.
               Okay. Did my spouse anticipate that a human
17
          Ο.
     life would be taken?
18
               Yes, sir.
19
          Α.
               Okay. Let me change the facts. If we both qo
20
          Q.
     in there, into the Circle K again, just to take some
21
     cigarettes. Then as we go in there -- before we go in
22
     there, I have a gun. My wife doesn't know it. Because
23
     as to my wife, we're just going to take some cigarettes
24
     and that's it, okay? I know I have a gun and I know I'm
```

```
going to go in there with a gun.
 1
                    At that point we go in, we take the
 2
     cigarettes. Nobody sees us. As we leave, my wife leaves
 3
     first and I leave right behind her. But as I approach
 4
     the door, I shoot the clerk. There's nobody else in
 5
     there. At that point did I actually kill the person?
 6
               Yes, sir.
 7
          Α.
               Did my wife actually kill the person?
          Ο.
 8
               No, sir.
          Α.
 9
               Did I intend that a person would be killed?
10
          Q.
               Your wife or --
11
          Α.
               Myself.
12
          Q.
13
          Α.
               Yourself? Yes.
               Okay. Did my wife intend that a person would
14
          Q.
     be killed?
15
               Yes, sir. Oh, no. Wait, wait.
16
          Α.
               Okay. Did I anticipate that somebody might be
17
          0.
     killed?
              Did I anticipate that a human life might be
18
     taken?
19
               Yes, sir.
20
          Α.
               Did my wife anticipate that a human life might
21
          Ο.
22
     be taken?
               No, sir, because she was already out.
23
          Α.
               And would you agree with me that it's also
          Ο.
24
     because of the reason that we never spoke about killing
25
```

somebody and she did not know that I had a weapon? 1 Yes, sir. 2 Α. Ο. Okay. She might be convicted of robbery; would 3 you agree with me? 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 But not of murder or capital murder because she 6 Ο. 7 did not know anything about it. If she didn't know anything about it, no, 8 Α. but -- yeah. 9 So you would be willing to convict her or find Ο. 10 her guilty of robbery but not murder or capital murder? 11 12 Α. Yeah. In Question Number 1 and Question Number 2, in 13 Q. order for you or the jury to answer "yes" to these two 14 15 questions, all 12 jurors have to agree --Α. Yes, sir. 16 -- which means that all 12 jurors once they 17 0. say, "Well, what's your answer to Question Number 1?" 18 All 12 of you have to say "yes." And then you go into 19 20 Question Number 2 if as to Number 1 all 12 of you said "yes." 21 If as to Question Number 1 ten of you said 22 "no" and two of you said "yes," then that's all you need. 23 Do you understand that? 24

Yes, sir.

Α.

- Q. Okay. In order for you all to bring us a "no" answer, only ten of you have to say "no," okay? And so if you all say "no" as to Question Number 1, then it stops right there.

 A. Okay.

 Q. Do you understand that?

 A. Yes, sir.

 Q. If you all say "yes," then we go into Question
 - Q. If you all say "yes," then we go into Question

 Number 2, whether I anticipated or that I killed or

 whether I intended to kill. Again, all 12 of you have to
 say "yes." If you all -- if ten of you say "no," then it
 stops right there.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you understand that only ten of you have to agree to it?
 - A. Yeah. Uh-huh.
 - Q. So if you all say "yes," then you go to Question Number 3 which is the mitigation issue. If ten of you say "no," then it stops right there.

So in Question Number 3 we're trying to find out, "Is this person more -- is there sufficient circumstances or maybe even a circumstance that this person deserves life instead of death?" Do you understand that?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Is there something in the evidence, is there something in the circumstances of the offense, is there something in the defendant's character and background, or is there something in the personal moral culpability of the defendant that that person deserves life or death?

 A. Which one? The one that committed the murder or --
- Q. Okay. Let me go back. Me and my wife go in to a Circle K. I have a gun and she knows that I have a gun. Okay. You've already answered "yes" to Question Number 1, "yes" to Question Number 2. And I'm the one that killed the clerk.
 - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. In Question Number 3, at that point would you be willing to take into consideration the evidence, whatever is presented here, the circumstances, how did the offense happen, what was the result of it, my character, my background, my education, personal moral culpability of the defendant, am I --
 - A. Not all --
- Q. -- remorseful for what I did, what was my thinking? Would you -- would you consider that -- take that into consideration to determine yes, he deserves life or no, he deserves death?
 - A. No. If you were the one --

STATE OF TEXAS VS. RUBEN GUTIERREZ

If I were the one that killed him. 1 Ο. If you were the one that -- no. I would 2 Α. probably have to hear him because the law says to hear 3 them all, right? So I would have to make -- you know, 4 hear them all. 5 But even though you would hear them all, would 6 Q. you already have a decision before hearing them all? 7 No, sir. 8 Α. Would you already say, "He deserves life"? I'm Ο. 9 sorry. "He deserves death"? 10 No. Until like you say, I have to hear them 11 Α. 12 all the way you're supposed hear them. Okay. So as to my wife, if she was not the 13 Q. killer, she was not the shooter, she knew about that I 14 had a qun, but she was not the shooter, would you be 15 willing to take all this into consideration? 16 17 Your mom -- your wife? All that, yes. Α. The circumstances, the evidence? 18 Ο. Α. Uh-huh. Yes. 19 She did not kill the person? 20 Q. 21 But she still knew that you had a gun. Α. Would you take all this into consideration? 22 Q. Some of them, yeah. Α. 23 And you would not automatically say no, she 24 0.

also deserves death because she knew I had a gun?

Α. No. She has to --1 This question is the opposite of Question 2 Ο. Number 1 and Number 2. In order for you all to say "no," 3 all 12 jurors have to say "no" in order for you all to 4 come back with a "no" answer. Okay. So what you say is 5 no, this person does not deserve life; he deserves death. 6 The one that killed the guy? 7 Whether it's me if I'm in trial or it's 8 Ο. my wife and she's in trial, after taking into 9 consideration all that. If you're going to answer yes, 10 this person deserves life, this person does not deserve 11 death, after considering everything, then only ten of you 12 have to come back with a "yes" or ten of you have to say 13 "yes" in order for you all to bring us a "yes" answer. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Do you understand that? 16 Q. Yes, sir. 17 Α. Are you willing to follow that? 18 Ο. Yes, sir. 19 Α. Mr. Blaylock spoke to you about the accused has 20 Q. the right to remain silent. 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 What are your feelings as to that? 23 Ο.

doesn't have to go to the stand or anything.

Α.

24

25

My feelings about it? That he has to be -- he

- Q. Yeah. He doesn't have to talk to the police officers. He doesn't have to testify here in court.
 - A. If that's the law, if he doesn't have to.
 - Q. Would you automatically take it against him just because he did not testify?
 - A. No, sir.

- Q. Would you take it against him because he did not talk to the police officers?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. He also spoke to you about the wife not testifying.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. In my hypothetical, only my wife and I went in there. The clerk was the only other person in there. There was nobody else.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. If my wife did not testify, she's the only other person that knew what went on. Would you take it against me because my wife did not testify?
 - A. Would I -- no, sir. That's the law.
- Q. Okay. Let's go back to the hypothetical. Two people go in and they kill a child, a five-year-old child. Then at that point they leave. Would you take it against the codefendant just because -- or would you take it against the accused just because the codefendant did

not testify? Would you automatically find him guilty? 1 Well, I really can't because you don't have 2

evidence, you know. You can't find him guilty because, you know, the codefendant didn't say anything or

4 anything. So how can I find him guilty?

- Okay. Would you take it -- if there is a Ο. statement from the accused, would you take into consideration the time it's taken? An example, if it was taken at 3:00 in the morning, would you take that into consideration?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
- Okay. Would you take into consideration the number of police officers that are around him at the time that the statement is taken? Like an example, there was four police officers and he took the statement -- they took the statement at 3:00 in the morning. Would you agree with me that that's not a good time to take a statement?
- No. Any time would be the same, you know, to take a statement.
- Would you take into consideration the fact that Ο. he got arrested at ten in the morning and the statement was not taken until 3:00 in the morning?
 - He got what? Α.
 - Arrested at 10:00 in the morning, by 10:00 he Q.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
was at the police department.
 1
               At 10:00 -- by 3:00 he made the statement?
 2
          Α.
               3:00 in the morning.
 3
          Ο.
          Α.
               Yeah.
 4
               Would you take that into consideration?
          Q.
 5
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
 6
               I believe Mr. Blaylock spoke to you about
 7
          Ο.
     the -- a plea bargain. You know what a plea bargain is,
 8
     right?
 9
               A deal.
10
          Α.
               A deal. And a deal can be that me and my wife
11
          Ο.
     or me and somebody else go into a house. The only person
12
     that's there is a five-year-old boy. As we go in, we try
13
     to take something and then we kill the little boy.
14
     Nobody else saw us. I'm in trial first.
15
                    Would you take into consideration the fact
16
     that he also should be considered for the death penalty;
17
     is that correct?
18
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
19
               Okay. Would you take into consideration the
20
          Ο.
     fact that he was offered 20 or 30 years for testifying?
21
               Would I consider that?
22
          Α.
23
          0.
               Yeah.
          Α.
               If that's the law, yes.
2.4
               Okay. Would you take into consideration the
25
          Q.
```

```
fact that he might get probation even though he was also
 1
     inside the house?
 2
               Probation?
 3
          Α.
               Somebody was killed --
          Ο.
 4
               Yeah, but I don't think they would probably
 5
          Α.
     give him probation.
 6
               But if he was given probation, would you take
 7
          0.
     that into consideration?
 8
               Yes. He was testifying against somebody else?
          Α.
 9
          Ο.
10
               Yeah.
               If that's the law, if they have to do that,
11
          Α.
12
     yes.
               In that situation, me and somebody else went
13
          Q.
     into the house. We both went in, somebody was killed.
14
15
     Nobody knows whether it was me or somebody else that
     killed him, the boy.
16
                    Do you agree with me that if my
17
     codefendant, the other person that went in with me,
18
     testified against me because he got less time, do you
19
     agree with me that he has a motive to testify? He's
20
21
     going to get something in return?
               What do you mean?
22
          Α.
               He's going to get a better deal than I am.
23
          Q.
```

For testifying against somebody else?

didn't know who committed -- both of you didn't commit --

24

25

Α.

STATE OF TEXAS VS. RUBEN GUTIERREZ

Nobody knew. Only I knew and my codefendant 1 Ο. knew who was the one that killed the little boy. 2 And --Α. 3 And he testified against me. 0. 4 Α. Would I what? 5 Q. Would you agree with me that he has a motive to 6 testify? He's getting something in return for 7 testifying. He's getting a lesser --8 Yeah, lesser time. Would I consider that like 9 Α. say consider --10 Do you agree with me that he has a motive to 11 Q. testify? He has a reason to testify? 12 Because he's getting a lesser charge, yeah. 13 Α. Would you agree with me that he also might have 14 Q. a reason to lie? 15 16 Α. Sure. Would you take that into consideration? 17 Ο. Yes, sir. 18 Α. I believe you -- well, would you agree with me 19 Q. 20 that police officers, doctors, scientists, that 21 everybody's human? Yes, sir. Α. 22 Would you agree with me that everybody makes 23 Ο. 24 mistakes? Yes, sir. 25 Α.

```
Okay. At that point if they make the mistake,
 1
          0.
     would you agree with me that they might lie in order to
 2
 3
     cover it?
               If the mistake, yeah, you know, they probably
          Α.
 4
     would lie. Well, they are lying. Some of them do lie
 5
 6
     anyway.
               So you would agree with me that some police
 7
     officers come in here and take -- or swear under oath and
 8
     they still might lie under oath?
 9
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
10
               Would you take that into consideration?
11
          Q.
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
12
               Have you -- I believe you have a relative in
13
          0.
     law enforcement; is that correct?
14
               Yes, sir.
15
          Α.
               You have a brother?
16
          0.
          Α.
               Brother.
17
               And he's working you said at the --
18
          Q.
          Α.
               Prison quard.
19
               And he's the one that applied at the
20
          0.
     Brownsville Police Department?
21
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
22
               Has he already been hired?
23
          Ο.
               I don't know, sir.
24
          Α.
               Okay. Would that keep you from being fair and
25
          Q.
```

```
impartial? An example, would you believe the police
 1
     officers a lot more just because your brother is in law
 2
     enforcement?
 3
               No, sir.
 4
          Α.
               Would you just listen to the testimony --
          Ο.
 5
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
 6
              -- and not automatically decide on the
 7
          Ο.
 8
     testimony?
                    Have you ever been a prior victim?
                                                          Has
 9
10
     your house --
          Α.
               A what?
11
               A prior victim.
12
          0.
               They almost did, but no.
13
          Α.
               Did you catch them or what happened?
14
          0.
               We scared them off.
          Α.
15
               Okay. Would you take that against the accused
          Q.
16
     just because you were almost a victim?
17
               No.
          Α.
18
               You would just listen to the testimony?
19
          Q.
20
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
               Have you heard about this case in the
21
          0.
     newspaper, TV, anywhere?
22
               No, sir.
23
          Α.
               Have you already formed an opinion?
24
          Q.
25
          Α.
               No, sir.
```

- Q. Have you served on a jury before?
 A. No, sir.
 Q. Let me go back to the question on
 - Q. Let me go back to the question on Number 1 and to Number 3. If a person committed capital murder, in order for the Court to consider the death, you need to answer "yes" to Number 1, "yes" to Number 2 and "no" to Number 3.

The person -- an example, a hypothetical, we went into the house. We killed the little boy. I'm in trial. The jury comes back and you all find me guilty. At that point what is your result -- what is your response as to whether they deserve the death or life?

- A. Death because they found you guilty.
- Q. Okay. Would you still go through all the three questions?
- A. Yeah, I would still go over them because that's the law. You have to go through everything.
- Q. Okay. If I don't have any other priors, I've never been arrested before, okay, Question Number 1 is asking you look into the future, whether I'm going to commit a criminal act again.
- A. Yeah, but you never know because, you know, you would say you never commit a crime, but all of a sudden you just snap and you probably would do it again --

Q. Okay.

- A. -- because you'll probably snap again.
- Q. If I was found guilty as to capital murder, would you automatically -- even though I didn't have any prior criminal record, nothing shows that I'm going to commit the crime again, I have a college degree, I've always been working, I don't have anything bad against me.
 - A. Would I consider the death penalty?
- Q. Would you automatically answer "yes" and not consider Question Number 1 because I committed a capital murder?
- A. And they found you guilty of it, would I consider Question Number 1 whether there is a probability that he would commit criminal acts of violence again?
 - O. Yes, sir.
- A. I would.
 - Q. You would just answer "yes"?
 - A. I would say "yes" because, you know --

MR. BLAYLOCK: I object to the question,
Judge. The standard is if his reason and common sense
told him that he would not probably commit a crime in the
future of violence, would he go against his reason and
common sense and answer it falsely just to ensure a
certain result? That's the standard; and I ask that it

be asked like that. 1 2 THE COURT: It's been asked already. ahead. 3 (BY MR. GALARZA) What would your response be 4 Ο. as to Question Number 1 if I committed capital murder and 5 6 like they told you, I have a four-year college degree, I 7 was working as a teacher, I don't have any criminal records --8 But they already found you quilty. 9 Α. 10 Q. -- but they already found me guilty? But you were quilty. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. But would you take into consideration --13 Α. The background? Everything, that I don't have anything in my 14 Ο. 15 record? 16 Well, I would look at it, yes. Α. 17 Ο. But you already have a response just because they have found me quilty of capital murder? 18 19 Α. Well, after -- you know, like you say, the law, you have to go through everything, right? I have to go 20 21 through everything. What if, you know, maybe, just maybe there might be a reasonable doubt, right? 22 What I'm trying to get at, just because 23 Ο. Okav. I commit a capital murder, would you automatically say he 24

25

deserves the death?

- A. Yes, sir, I would say that.
- Q. So you would look at every single question, but you would automatically -- even though you're answering every single question, you would still say he deserves the death penalty?
 - A. But I would look at everything, yes, sir.
- Q. If there's something in there -- like an example, if nine jurors say, "He does not deserve the death," so -- you're trying to answer Question Number 1, okay?
 - A. Nine jurors?
- Q. Nine jurors say, "No, he does not deserve death." So their answer is "no," okay? Remember that you need ten.
 - A. Ten to say --
- Q. Would you automatically say "yes" just because you already know as to -- in your mind that he deserves "yes"? Even though I'm educated, I have no prior criminal record, I've never committed a crime before, this is the first time I've ever committed one.
 - A. Would I say --
- Q. Would -- the question is would anybody be able to change your mind?
- A. Well, like I say, they explain it or something they go right to everything, yeah.

Well, I'm going through everything. 1 Q. Yeah. 2 Α. Educated --3 0. Yeah, if something -- yeah, well, you know, 4 Α. I'll probably change my mind if I find something 5 different or something, yeah. 6 I'm telling you what the evidence is going to 7 I've never committed a I have a four-year degree. 8 crime before, not even a class C. I've never done 9 anything else before. I've been teaching all this time. 10 What was your reason for doing it? 11 Α. 12 Q. Well, I went --13 Α. You snapped? -- in to commit burglary. 14 0. I have to say you're guilty. 15 Α. So you would automatically just find me guilty 16 Q. and give me the death penalty? 17 Because you murdered somebody, yes. Α. 18 Would you be able to set that aside and, if the Ο. 19 jurors gave you some information, automatically change 20 your mind or you would automatically --21 Like say there was somebody else that didn't --22 Α. if he didn't deserve -- you know, if somebody -- unless 23

somebody came up and said, "Do you know what? They found

the -- you know, it wasn't him. They made a mistake.

24

```
was somebody else that killed him, " then eventually
 1
     you're going to -- yes. No.
 2
               Okay. But there's no way -- I've already been
 3
     found quilty.
 4
          Α.
              Yeah.
 5
               What you're looking at now is these three
 6
          Q.
     questions.
 7
               The three questions. Actually on Number 3
 8
          Α.
     because you're asking for the background and everything.
 9
10
          Q.
               Yeah.
               Not the first two. You're just going for
          Α.
11
12
     the --
               But you also have to take into consideration
13
          Q.
     the prior criminal record, number one.
14
               Yeah. On Number 3, right?
15
          Α.
               Okay. Let me go back. How do you know if
16
          0.
     Number 3 -- in Number 1, I'm sorry, it says, "Is there a
17
     probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts
18
     of violence?" They're telling you to look into the
19
20
     future; is that correct?
21
          Α.
               Yeah.
```

- Q. How do you know if this person is going to commit other acts of violence?
 - A. For what he did.

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Let's go back. I have not done

```
anything.
 1
               No, no, but he did. He murdered someone.
 2
          Α.
               At that time?
          Ο.
 3
               At that time, yes, sir.
          Α.
 4
               Just because of what he did, you would
 5
          Ο.
     automatically find him quilty and give him the death
 6
     penalty?
 7
               Yes, sir, because actually whatever he did in
          Α.
 8
     the past didn't -- doesn't really matter for what he
 9
     did -- oh, sorry -- for what he did that day because, you
10
     know -- he might be a doctor, a judge, and still kill
11
     him, you know, and have a good record. He's still going
12
     to -- you never know if he's going to snap again and do
13
     it again.
14
               Okay. So is your feeling anybody that commits
15
          Q.
     capital murder deserves the death penalty?
16
               Yes, sir, but the law -- that's what -- my
17
     personal feeling, yes.
18
          Ο.
               So --
19
               But if the law asks me to do something else to
          Α.
20
     go by the law, I have to do what the law says.
21
               But will your end result always be the same
          Ο.
22
23
     way?
               If something else comes up, yeah.
24
          Α.
```

Q.

Okay.

Somebody committed capital murder, you

```
look at everything?
```

- A. At everything, I'll look at everything. And if something -- you know, if something might, you know, out of the blue come out and, you know, make something doubt about it.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. What is out of the blue?
 - A. Like let's say --
- Q. Let's go back. I commit a capital murder. I went into the Circle K. I shot the clerk.
 - A. Yeah. Say you shot him, right? But what if he, you know, tried to shoot you or whatever, you know, say -- you know, something like that.
- Q. Okay. But let me give you -- and that's self-defense.
- A. Yeah, that's self-defense, but you're still committing a murder because you're the one that's going to steal, right?
- Q. So in that situation, you would automatically give him the death penalty?
 - A. For murder.
 - Q. No. I got convicted of capital murder.
- A. Oh, capital murder? Okay. You didn't give me like a choice there.
 - Q. Okay. I got convicted of capital murder

```
because I went in to Circle K. I shot somebody because
 1
     they did not want to give me money. Or in your
 2
     hypothetical, they put the alarm on. So I shot them
 3
     because they put the alarm on.
 4
               That's capital murder. I would still --
 5
          Α.
               It's still capital murder.
          Q.
 6
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
 7
               Okay. Would you still give them death in
 8
          0.
     either way?
 9
               In either way because --
          Α.
10
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: I object, Judge.
                                                      He's
11
12
     trying to bind him to a certain answer.
                    THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
13
     Will he consider.
14
               (BY MR. GALARZA) Will you consider life in
          0.
15
     that situation?
16
17
          Α.
               Life?
               In either one.
18
          0.
19
          Α.
               For what?
               Well, if I went in and they did not want to
20
          Q.
     give me the money. I heard that they put the alarm on
21
     for the police to come over --
22
               And you shot them?
23
          Α.
               I shot him.
24
          Ο.
               And would I consider for just life in prison?
25
          Α.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- The other hypothetical, I went in. I went Ο. ahead and shot them, shot the clerk because they did not want to give me the money. Would you consider life or death? Death because you already have a choice. You Α. already did the choice. Okay. The only way you would consider life is if it was in self-defense? Α. Yeah. Okay. If I went into a house -- or let me get Ο. back. If I went into the bank, I went in by myself, and as I get out -- nobody gave me a hard time. Everybody's on the floor. As I get out I kill the police officer that's there and then I leave. Automatically you would consider death in this situation? Α. Yes, sir. The only time you would consider life is if it's self-defense?
- A. No, not say in self-defense, right? But I'm saying that, you know, say because if they found him not -- like you say, all through all six, probably give him life, you know, because you didn't find the evidence.

But say something, you know, brought up like the -- you know, like you guys, right, you're defending, you come up with something else, maybe I

```
wouldn't because some new evidence came in or whatever,
 1
 2
     you know.
              Okay. Let me go back. So what you're saying
 3
          0.
     is like in these elements right here, if they did not
 4
     prove element number six --
 5
               And if the law requires they have to prove all
 6
     six. then --
 7
               And the person was found quilty or you found
 8
          Ο.
     him quilty of murder --
 9
               Uh-huh.
          Α.
10
              -- in that situation you would consider life?
11
          Q.
          Α.
               Life, yeah.
12
               But if they found him guilty of capital murder,
13
          Q.
     you would always consider death?
14
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
15
               So you would not consider life in a capital
16
          Q.
17
     murder case?
               No, sir.
18
          Α.
                    MR. GALARZA: May we approach, Your Honor?
19
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: I would ask just to clarify
20
     that up.
21
                    THE COURT: Go ahead and clarify that
22
23
    point.
24
25
```

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLAYLOCK:

- Q. Now, sir --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- you know you're going to have to answer these questions honestly.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. We talked about that.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if there was something about the evidence or about the man that made you believe that there was not a probability that he would commit an act of violence in the future, your reason and your common sense tells you, you know, "I think that he probably won't commit an act of violence in the future," would you still consider saying "yes" to that question to ensure that he gets the death penalty because of your beliefs?
- A. Because of my beliefs because he's going to -the way I see it, I don't know if the -- the law is
 different the way somebody else sees it, right? The way
 I see it, if he goes in, right, you know, like that --
- Q. Well, let me stop you there. Let me stop you there. Let's say after hearing all the evidence, after hearing all the evidence, your reason and your common sense tells you there is no probability that he'll commit

```
an act of violence in the future. I mean, you believe
 1
 2
     that.
                    Your reason and your common sense,
 3
     something -- I can't predict what all the evidence is
 4
     going to be. Neither can he. We can't give you a
 5
     hypothetical to include everything.
 6
          Α.
               Yeah.
 7
               It's impossible. But let's say you hear
          Ο.
 8
     something in all the evidence where your reason and your
 9
     common sense tells you, you know, he probably won't
10
     commit an act of violence in the future, would you answer
11
     this one yes, he would, just to ensure that he gets the
12
     death penalty?
13
               Well, no, you've got to go -- if you say
14
          Α.
     something --
15
               So you would answer it honestly --
16
          ο.
17
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
               -- based on the evidence --
          Ο.
18
               On the evidence.
19
          Α.
               -- whatever it is?
20
          Q.
21
          Α.
               Whatever it is, yes, sir.
               Okay. And the same thing with Question 2?
22
          Ο.
               Yes, sir. It depends on the evidence and
23
          Α.
     everything that would show up.
24
               Okay. Now, because of your beliefs, you
25
          Q.
```

```
believe this man should get the death penalty?
 1
               Yes, sir, because he killed somebody.
 2
          Α.
               Listen, listen. In any set of hypothetical,
 3
          Q.
     you believe -- you want the result to be death penalty.
 4
     You want that, okay? And, I mean, let's say
 5
     hypothetically you want that result. You want this man
 6
              Would you answer this one falsely --
     to die.
 7
               Oh, no, I wouldn't.
 8
          Α.
               -- to ensure that he gets the death penalty?
 9
          Q.
               No, I wouldn't.
10
          Α.
               Would you answer this one falsely?
11
          Q.
          Α.
               No, sir.
12
               Would you answer this one falsely?
13
          Q.
               No, sir.
          Α.
14
               Okay. So if your reason and your common sense
15
          0.
     tells you to answer this one "no" --
16
               I would have to say "no" because that --
17
          Α.
               Because your reason and your common sense tells
18
          Ο.
     you?
19
20
          Α.
               Yeah.
               And if your reason and your common sense tells
21
     you that he couldn't have anticipated that a human life
22
     be taken and you wanted him to get the death penalty
23
     anyway, would you still say "no"?
24
               I would still say "no."
25
          Α.
```

1	Q. Okay. So you would still be honest?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. And if your reason and your common sense tells
4	you there is something mitigating about the evidence or
5	the man that where he deserves a life sentence instead
6	of a death sentence, would you answer it falsely?
7	A. No, sir. I would answer it truthfully.
8	MR. BLAYLOCK: That's all I have, Judge.
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. GALARZA:
11	Q. Let's go back into that same hypothetical, the
12	same questions that he asked you. You've already
13	convicted me of capital murder because I killed the
14	clerk. Something in your reason and common sense tells
15	you he might not it's asking you to look into the
16	future.
17	A. Yeah, into the future, but we don't know the

- Q. Okay. But it's asking you to look into it. Nobody knows the future.
 - A. Yeah.

future.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. We'd all be millionaires by now. So you already know I committed capital murder. You already found me guilty of capital murder, okay? You looked at all the evidence and you found me guilty of capital

murder. Now we're at the second part which is
sentencing.

- A. Yeah. Which is the sentencing, yeah.
- Q. You look at Number 1. After we present the evidence to you, it's shown that I have a four-year degree. It's shown that I've never committed any crime before.
 - A. Would I consider --
- Q. Hold on. Your reasoning might tell you maybe this person will not do it again.
 - A. Maybe.

- Q. In the back of your mind you think, "This person might not do it again." Would you still answer "yes" even though --
- A. No, sir. If my mind says -- you know, if I think, "Well, maybe he wouldn't," right, or something, right, I wouldn't.
- Q. What would it take you to say no, he wouldn't, in that situation?
- A. Because it depends, you know, like you say, that degree, college degree and, you know, they never did anything like that, right? But -- I would say it's kind of hard because you're saying that I have to -- the law says I have to consider it, right?
 - Q. What I'm trying to do is I'm trying to make

```
sure that you are going to be fair and impartial because
 1
     a while ago you stated that to you, any capital murder --
 2
               Yeah, to me.
          Α.
 3
               -- case deserves death.
          0.
 4
               That's to me, but the law requires it to go
 5
          Α.
     look at --
 6
               And that only murder cases -- like in this
 7
          0.
     hypothetical if they didn't prove robbery, but you all
 8
     found him guilty of murder, only that murder case
 9
     deserves life?
10
               Yeah.
          Α.
11
               Okay. So in that hypothetical, would -- just
12
          Q.
     because I got convicted of capital murder, would you
13
     automatically answer every single question just to make
14
15
     sure --
               Well, to prove -- because they proved it to you
16
          Α.
     that you did it, I would say because, you know -- but if
17
     they say if you probably -- there be -- would commit
18
     violence again somewhere else, you know, I'm going to
19
     have to say "yes" because he's still going to do it
20
21
     anyway.
               So just because I committed --
22
          Q.
23
          Α.
               Capital murder.
               -- capital murder --
24
          Q.
               One time.
25
          Α.
```

-- you believe that I'll commit it again? 1 Q. Yes, sir. 2 Α. Even though I've never done anything before? 3 Ο. Even though you -- there's people that have 4 Α. done nothing in their life and they still go on a killing 5 6 rampage. Okay. So even though I don't have any criminal 7 Q. record and if I committed a capital murder once, would 8 9 vou --Would you --10 Α. Just capital murder, I've just committed it 11 once and I don't have anything else in my record, okay, 12 do you believe that I'll commit it again automatically? 13 Would you -- I'll probably be convinced, yes, 14 Α. sir. 15 So because of that, you would automatically say 16 17 "yes" to Number 1? For the way I believe it, yes. 18 Α. Okay. Would you be able to set that belief 19 Ο. 20 aside and just consider everything that's presented to 21 you? Well, I have to go through it, yes. 22 Α. How about murder? If they found him quilty of 23 murder, and I told you the sentencing range is from five 24 years to 99 years or life, if he was found guilty of 25

murder, what would your sentence be automatically? 1 Α. Life. 2 Would you ever --3 Q. MR. BLAYLOCK: I object, Judge. He's 4 attempting to bind him to a certain set of circumstances. 5 6 The question should be --THE COURT: I'll sustain. Would he 7 consider. 8 (BY MR. GALARZA) Would you consider ever 9 0. giving him five years if they proved murder? 10 No. Α. 11 12 Q. Would you --MR. BLAYLOCK: I object, Judge. The form 13 of the question -- the form of the question is would you 14 consider the full range of punishment in a murder case 15 not knowing the facts? And we can -- I would ask to 16 re-voir dire on facts if he wants to go into that. 17 THE COURT: We've gone over all this. 18 I've already gone through 19 MR. GALARZA: 20 all the elements. The thing is that you're saying is that would I 21 Α. consider it because they found him for murder, right? 22 How are you going to give somebody that murdered five 23 It has to be a longer year span. You know, 24 25 automatically you're not going to give him five years

because he killed, take somebody's life. You're going to consider the other things, you know, the other years that you have to go.

- Q. (BY MR. GALARZA) So to you -- and I can quote you -- I believe you stated, "Justice is to do the same to the person that killed the person?"
 - A. Yes, same thing.
 - Q. That's the way you believe?
- A. Yes.

- Q. So to you, if they committed murder, because the range of punishment is from five to 99 or life, if they prove murder and you found him guilty of murder, you would automatically give him the most you can which is life?
 - A. Depending on the crime, yeah.
 - O. If it was murder.
- A. Well, that's why.
- Q. Okay. I was found guilty of murder. They couldn't prove element number six, so you found me guilty of murder, okay? Would you automatically give me life or would you consider everything else? Just because to you it says --
- A. No, no, but it depends, like you say, the evidence. Say if you have your background and stuff like that, maybe you wouldn't.

```
1
          Q.
               Okay.
                      It all depends on the evidence you
          Α.
                See?
 2
 3
     present and everything else.
               But --
          Ο.
 4
                I'm just not going to make a choice right away.
 5
     Say if you committed -- I'm going to go through
 6
 7
     everything. They have to explain everything so I can
     come out with something, "Hey, he doesn't have a right to
 8
     go out, " right? But say, you know, something back there
 9
     he did something, you know --
10
11
          Ο.
               So would you consider five to 99 or life?
12
          Α.
               Yeah.
               Anything in between, 5 to 99 or life?
13
          Q.
14
          Α.
               In between.
15
          Q.
               I believe you also stated, and I can quote you,
     "Nothing makes me less morally blameworthy if I kill --
16
     if I kill somebody."
17
               Who?
18
          Α.
                      Me?
               Well, me.
19
          Ο.
20
          Α.
               Oh.
          Q.
               If I killed the clerk, there's nothing to you
21
     that makes me less culpable?
22
23
               What's that, culpable?
          Α.
               Less responsible for the crime.
24
          Ο.
               If you killed him and you make less --
25
          Α.
```

1	Q. Is there anything that makes me less
2	responsible if I killed the clerk?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. You would automatically just give me death?
5	A. Because you killed him. It depends on what you
6	did.
7	Q. I killed the clerk. I went in with a gun.
8	A. You knew you were going to shoot him, you're
9	going to shoot him.
10	Q. If I needed to, I would shoot him.
11	A. Yeah, because you should not have gone in with
12	a gun. If you didn't have the intent to kill somebody,
13	you wouldn't take a gun. You would just steal the money.
14	Q. Okay. So there's nothing that makes me less
15	blameworthy, less responsible for what I did?
16	MR. BLAYLOCK: I object to the form of the
17	question, improperly trying to
18	MR. GALARZA: That's all I have at this
19	time, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sanchez
21	MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: let me ask you to step down
23	for just a few minutes.
24	MR. SANCHEZ: Sure.
25	THE COURT: I need to take up a legal

```
matter and I'll bring you back in.
 1
                    MR. SANCHEZ:
                                  Sure.
 2
                    THE COURT: Okay. Is this venireperson
 3
     acceptable to the State?
 4
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: Yes, Judge.
 5
                    THE COURT: Is it acceptable to the
 6
 7
     defendant?
                    MR. GALARZA: Your Honor, at this time we
 8
     would challenge him for cause. He's been going back and
 9
     forth, but he did state that he would not consider
10
     anything else. He would mainly consider the death
11
     penalty. Even in this last hypothetical or the last
12
     question, he stated that he would just go ahead and
13
     automatically give me the death penalty and there's
14
15
     nothing to him that would make me less blameworthy.
                    THE COURT: I'll deny that challenge.
16
17
                    MR. GALARZA: We at this time would use a
    peremptory, Your Honor.
18
19
                    THE COURT:
                                Number ten. Okay.
     already 11:43. Tell Ms. Hernandez to be back at 1:30 and
20
21
     bring in Ms. Teran.
                    THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sanchez, that's all the
23
     questions we have for you today. You're excused to go.
24
                    MR. SANCHEZ: All right.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
 1
                                   Thank you.
 2
                    MR. SANCHEZ:
                    MR. GALARZA: Thank you.
 3
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: Nice to see you.
 4
                    THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Teran.
 5
                    MS. TERAN: Good morning.
 6
                    THE COURT: I'm sorry to keep you waiting,
 7
     but some questioning takes a lot longer than others.
 8
                    MS. TERAN:
                                 That's okay.
 9
                    THE COURT: And I think we're ready to
10
               I appreciate your patience.
11
     proceed.
                    You may proceed.
12
                    MS. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.
13
                          EVARISTA TERAN,
14
         having been called as a prospective juror and, upon
15
         her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
16
                       VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
17
     BY MS. FISCHER:
18
               Good morning, ma'am. How are you?
19
          Q.
               Good morning.
20
          Α.
               My name is Karen Fischer. This is John
21
          Ο.
     Blaylock. You met him when he was -- when we were all
22
     here last week.
23
24
          Α.
               Okay.
                      Yes.
               We both work for the District Attorney's
25
          Q.
```

- Office. That means we represent the people of Cameron County here today.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, I have read through your questionnaire, and I have been able to understand some of your feelings about some of the issues we have in this case. But let me explain a little bit to you about how the whole process works.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. In the State of Texas we have a two-part trial system. The first part -- and if you're chosen as a juror, your job would be to decide whether or not the defendant is quilty.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The burden of proof during that or what my job is I have to bring the evidence to you and prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he did commit that crime.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you find him guilty, then we move into the punishment phase of the trial. And it's during the punishment phase of the trial that you are asked a series of questions. And based on your answers to those questions, the Court may or may not impose the death penalty.
 - I want to talk about both of those stages,

٠.

```
first the guilt/innocence stage. Now, you understand
 1
     this is a capital murder prosecution?
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
               The defendant's been accused of, while in the
          Ο.
 4
     course of committing robbery, murdering Ms. Harrison.
 5
          Α.
               Yes.
 6
               That means that he could face the death
 7
          0.
     penalty. But the law says that in order for you to find
 8
     him guilty, you have to find him guilty beyond a
 9
     reasonable doubt. That's the standard.
                                               But when we
10
     asked you, "Should the State be required to prove their
11
     case beyond all doubt in a capital murder prosecution, "
12
     you said, "Yes."
13
               Well, in that -- in this case, I do not say
14
          Α.
15
     yes --
16
          Q.
               Okay.
17
          Α.
               -- or not.
18
          Q.
               Okay.
               What I said is that my personal opinion is in
19
     some cases they can be by Judge or by jury, a penalty --
20
     I mean, a penalty -- of that penalty. I'm sorry.
21
               Okay.
22
          Q.
23
          Α.
               Some cases.
24
          Q.
               Okay.
25
          Α.
               And --
```

- Q. Let's go ahead and talk about that, then, since you brought up the death penalty. You have some real mixed feelings about it. I noticed that you said that it may be appropriate, but that you're opposed to it. Tell me how you feel about the death penalty.
 - A. Personal opinion?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. Okay. It is -- like I said, in some cases they need that penalty.
 - Q. Right.
- A. They need that. The community need that. I believe that if those people who dedicate his life doing something -- it's not in this case. Let's say like in California they commit a lot of crimes in there. And some cases they're not -- they don't do anything about it.

And I believe that if they have some penalty to do with those people who do something that's not right for that community. And if we can do -- it's not like an example. For those people who dedicate to do something that's not right for that community, they -- I think they think -- they're going to think better if they're going to do -- they continue with that crime.

Q. Okay. But you keep saying in -- "not in this case." Could you not give the death penalty in this case

for some reason? 1 I do not say I'm not. I do not say I do. 2 Α. Okay. So you don't know? 3 Ο. Α. No. 4 Okay. But what will happen is that you're 5 Ο. going to be asked a series of questions. So there's not 6 7 going to be a sentence that says, "Do you think the defendant should receive the death penalty?" Or a 8 question, and you have to say, "yes" or you have to say 9 "no." 10 Instead, you are asked questions. 11 based on your answers to those questions, the defendant 12 may receive the death penalty. 13 A. Uh-huh. 14 15 Okay? And so what you have to do, then, is answer the questions. 16 17 Α. Okay. Okay. But do you have feelings about the death 18 0. penalty that would cause you for him to not want to get 19 it so you wouldn't be honest about the questions? 20

THE COURT: To answer the questions.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. (BY MS. FISCHER) Yeah, in answering. And because if you have that personal belief -- I mean, you told me a minute ago you don't know how you feel about it. I have to ask you now, can you do it? Can you

answer the questions honestly or do you have personal
feelings that would cause you to say, "No, I don't think
the death penalty is a good idea"?

- A. No. I can answer the questions.
- Q. Okay. The questions, then, they ask you, "Whether there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society."

You're going to be asked, "Will the defendant hurt again?" Okay. And you're going to have to make a decision on whether or not you think that will happen, okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. If you hear evidence that the defendant will hurt again, will you answer that question honestly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The next question, "Whether the defendant actually caused the death of the deceased, or did not actually cause the death of the deceased but intended to kill the deceased or another, or anticipated that a human life would be taken."

This question -- I know it's long and convoluted -- basically asks if you can consider the death penalty for people convicted under the law of parties.

And the law of parties is that situation Mr. Blaylock talked about with the bank robbers. And you have two people who are going to rob a bank.

A. Yes.

- Q. One goes into the bank; the other one stays in the car. Under the law they're equally guilty. How do you feel about that?
 - A. I feel that they're guilty, I mean both.
- Q. Okay. Let's say that they both -- that they decide that they're going to rob the bank. And so they both go into the bank. They both have guns, but only one of them kills the clerk. Only one of them kills the bank teller. The other one doesn't. The other one is over there getting money. And when the bank teller won't give them the money, he tells his buddy, "Well, just shoot her and kill her and let's take the money and run." Okay?

Now, of course, the person that caused the death, they can receive the death penalty. Do you agree with that?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What about the one that didn't pull the trigger?
- A. I believe that both are guilty of that, but one is the one who shoot the gun.
 - O. What about the other one?

- A. The other one is not going to be that killed it -- than the one who killed the man, but they had to receive the punish.
- Q. But the law says they can get the same punishment.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you agree with that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What if they both go in the bank, they both have guns, and while one of them's getting the money, the other one kills the clerk. But the other one doesn't tell him to kill him. He doesn't say anything, but they have this plan. They both have their guns and they're both going to get the money.

Do you think it's reasonable they anticipated someone would have to die if they were going to go in and take the money?

- A. No.
- Q. You don't? Okay. This question asks you here if you think someone anticipated a human life would be taken, you can consider the death penalty.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you both -- if two people go into a Circle K and they both have guns and their goal is to get the money, but only one of them shoots, do you think the

other guy should have anticipated they might have to use that gun?

- A. Yes, because both of them have guns.
- Q. Okay. Do you think the one that didn't pull the trigger should get the death penalty?

THE COURT: Can you consider.

- Q. (BY MS. FISCHER) Consider the death penalty?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Can you do it, though? That's what the question is. You're going to have to answer these questions. Can you answer that question and can you consider the death penalty?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. The last question talks about things that make you less to blame, okay? So let's say you do think he might hurt again, and you do think that he pulled the trigger and he should have known the trigger was going to be pulled. Then you can consider things that might make him less to blame, okay? And then you can consider whether life or death is more appropriate.

Okay. Is there something in your mind that you're always going to consider or some reason that you may always consider life in prison to be better than death?

A. Well, yes.

- Tell me what it is. 1 0. Well, it depends on the evidence. It depends Α. 2 in what we hear that -- the person who's going to testify 3 on the case. And it depends on the circumstances, I 4 mean, what we see and what we hear. 5 So, then, you haven't made your mind up that 6 0. life is always better than death? 7 8 Α. Uh-huh. You're going to consider everything you hear? 9 Ο. 10 Α. Yes. Okay. Then the last question I'm going to ask 11 0. you and then I'm going to let Mr. Galarza talk to you is 12 that because the death penalty is an option and because 13 this is a serious offense, I have to prove this to you 14 beyond a reasonable doubt, but not beyond all doubt. I 15 have to prove those first two questions. I have to prove 1.6 that he's guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, not beyond 17 all doubt. Can you follow that law? 18 I believe so. 19 Α. Okay. So you're not going to say that I have 20 Ο. to be 100 percent right or all doubt? You're going to 21 follow the law? 22 23 Α. Yes.
 - MS. FISCHER: I don't have any further questions, Judge.

```
THE COURT: All right. Let me -- it's
 1
     five 'til 12. You're going to take a while. So let
 2
 3
     me --
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: Can we approach right now,
 4
 5
     Judge?
                   THE COURT: All right.
 6
                    (Off the record discussion at the bench)
 7
                    THE COURT: Let me excuse you for just one
 8
     minute. I need to take up a legal matter and then I'll
 9
     bring you back in.
10
11
                    MS. TERAN:
                                Okay.
                                Thank you.
                    THE COURT:
12
                    (Prospective juror left the courtroom)
13
                    THE COURT: All right. The State had
14
15
     something to --
                    MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, at this time
16
     we're going to use a peremptory strike against this
17
18
     juror.
                    MR. BLAYLOCK: If there's no objection
19
     from the defense.
20
                                  There's no objection.
21
                    MR. GALARZA:
                    THE COURT: Okay. That'll be number
22
23
     seven?
                    MR. BLAYLOCK:
                                   Yes, sir.
24
                    THE COURT: Bring her in.
25
```

```
Ms. Teran, that's all the questions we
 1
     have for you today.
 2
                    MS. TERAN: Okay.
 3
                    THE COURT: You're excused to go, and
 4
     thank you for your patience.
 5
                    MS. TERAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
 6
                    THE COURT: Thank you. Be back at 1:30
 7
     then.
 8
                                  Yes, sir.
                    MS. FISCHER:
 9
                    (Lunch recess taken from 11:53 a.m. to
10
                    1:32 p.m.)
11
                    THE COURT: All right. Okay. We're back.
12
     You may be seated. Bring in Ms. Hernandez.
13
                    Good afternoon, Ms. Hernandez.
14
                    MS. HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon.
15
                    THE COURT: How are you?
16
                                    All right.
                    MS. HERNANDEZ:
17
                    THE COURT: Sorry we had to reschedule you
18
     this afternoon, but it took a little longer with some
19
20
     other people this morning.
                    MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, it's okay.
21
                    THE COURT: Just do me a favor and speak
22
     into the microphone so everybody can hear you. The
23
     lawyers have a few more questions for you, okay?
24
                    MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Go ahead.
2	MS. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.
3	ROSA HERNANDEZ,
4	having been called as a prospective juror and, upon
5	her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. FISCHER:
8	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Hernandez. How are you
9	doing?
10	A. All right, ma'am.
11	Q. We need to talk to you I've read your
12	questionnaire, and so I know a little about actually,
13	I know a lot about you. I know that you're pursuing a
14	criminal justice degree and that you plan some day to
15	have a career in that. Tell me what you want to do
16	within the criminal justice system.
17	A. I wanted to go into probation officer.
18	Q. Okay. You're planning on continuing on with
19	that? Are you still attending school?
20	A. Not at the time.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. But I do want to go back.
23	Q. Okay. You also said that and I guess as
24	part of your schooling you've been to a maximum security
25	prison. So you know what prison is like and you've also

been to what they call the death chamber over in Huntsville; is that right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Well, now, you know that this is a case that involves the death penalty. That's a possible punishment in this case. Is there anything about your experiences in school that may cause you to be unfair in this case?
 - A. No.

Q. Okay. The reason why you're here and the reason why we get to talk to you one-on-one is because we need folks, we need 12 people who can be fair and impartial. And so, that means that not only the people of Cameron County, who I represent here today, but Mr. Gutierrez, he has the right to have a fair trial.

So if there are things that you have very strong feelings about that may cause you to be unfair, then that's what I need to talk to you about because we just -- you know, you would agree with me that everybody deserves a fair trial?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. There's something else, then, that I noticed and that was the fact that your house has gotten broken into a couple of times and your purse has gotten stolen?

Α. That's correct. 1 Okay. Tell me about that. Is that going to 2 Ο. cause you to have any problems being a fair juror? 3 No. There won't be no problem. Α. 4 Okay. And the fact that you say your husband 5 Q. has been arrested for drug charges in the past, is that 6 over and done with? 7 Oh, yes. That was back in -- when he was in Α. 8 9 high school. Okay. He doesn't have any pending cases right 10 Q. now in any of the courts or anything like that? 11 Α. No. 12 Okay. So once again, I have to ask you the 13 0. question, can you be fair or you will not hold it against 14 anybody because of some of your past experiences? 15 I think I can be fair. 16 Α. The -- we asked you the question about 0. Okay. 17 if you've known anyone who's been to the penitentiary. 18 Do you know someone who's been to prison before? 19 Well, my husband's stepfather has been. 20 Α. Okay. Why did he go to prison? 21 0. As far as I can remember, I think -- I don't 22 Α. know if he killed or he tried to kill his wife. 23

on or was that long before you met your husband?

0.

24

25

Okay. Did you know him when all that was going

- A. No. That was long before I met him.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any personal -- I mean, this case obviously involves a murder. I mean, are there any personal feelings that might cause you to be unfair in this case?
 - A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, we need to talk about the law. We've asked you a lot of personal questions. I need to talk to you about the law. In the State of Texas we have a two-part trial system.

as a juror, would mean your job would be to decide whether or not the defendant is guilty. It's my job to prove it to you. I have to prove to you the elements of the crime that he's accused of; and the elements of the crime that he's accused of, they're up there. I have to prove to you that while in the course of committing robbery, that he murdered Ms. Harrison.

I do that beyond a reasonable doubt. That is the standard of the level of evidence that I have to prove to you in this court. And that's not beyond all doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt. It's beyond a reasonable doubt.

But when we asked you in your questionnaire if you would hold the State to a higher

```
burden on a capital murder case, you said yes, that you
would.
Tell me why you think we should be held to
```

Tell me why you think we should be held to a higher burden.

A. I don't know.

Q. Okay. The law is beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what the law is. And the law is the same for all cases. Whether it be a drug charge, or a driving while intoxicated charge, a murder charge or a capital murder charge, the law says the burden of the proof, the burden that I have stays the same. It doesn't change.

How do you feel about that knowing that the burden of proof is the same whether this be capital murder or D.W.I.?

- A. (No response).
- Q. Do you think that's a bad law?
- A. No, because you have your -- I mean, you have your duty and your duty is, you know, to do that. So --
- Q. And that's -- the law says that's all I need to do. I don't have any higher burden just because it's a capital murder case. How do you feel about that?
 - A. (No response).
- Q. Do you think I should have a higher burden? Should I have to prove it to you beyond all doubt --
 - A. Yes.

-- or beyond a shadow of a doubt? 1 Q. I think you should prove it all, you know. 2 Α. Beyond all doubt? Q. 3 Beyond all doubt. 4 Α. Okay. That's not what the law is. The law 5 Q. says I have to prove it to you beyond a reasonable doubt. 6 You have to use your reason and common sense and, after 7 careful and impartial consideration, reach a decision. 8 That's what the law says I have to do. I 9 don't have to prove it to you 100 percent. And in fact, 10 there's probably no way I can prove it to you 100 percent 11 unless you were there and you saw it happen, okay? So I 12 can't do that and I don't have to, okay? That is the 13 law. 14

Can you follow that law or do you have personal feelings in your heart that says, "If I'm not 100 percent sure, then I could never convict"?

- A. I would say depending on the case, but in this case, it's -- I mean, I wasn't there.
 - Q. Right.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So I'd have to just, you know, just hear the evidence, hear what's there.
- Q. Okay. Could you follow the standard -- if when the Judge gave you the law, if he says, "The standard is beyond a reasonable doubt," which is not beyond all

doubt, which is not beyond a shadow of a doubt, which is not 100 percent, it's beyond a reasonable doubt, can you follow that law?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. That's what I need you to do. I need you -- and if you don't like the law, that's okay. And if you can't follow it, that's okay, too. There aren't any right or wrong answers in all of this. But I need to know how you feel and honestly how you feel so that both sides get a fair trial, okay? Because -- and if it's not fair, you know, that -- that's not fair for either side. It's not fair for the people of Cameron County, it's not fair for the defendant.

Tell me, then, knowing that, okay, you have to come to a point where if you do find the defendant guilty, you will then be asked a series of questions. And based on your answers to those questions, the death penalty may be imposed.

Tell me what your feelings are about the death penalty.

A. What I feel about the death penalty is -- I mean, if you committed the crime, then that's -- you have to also think about the consequences. So I mean, depending on the seriousness of the crime, I mean, if that's what it's going to take, then do it.

- Q. What types of crimes do you think should have the death penalty?
- A. Intentional, you know, crimes, like intentional murder, you know.
- Q. Okay. In the State of Texas one of the ways that you can be subjected to the death penalty is if while committing robbery or you murder someone while committing a robbery, you're robbing someone like in the Circle K hypothetical Mr. Blaylock has used before where you go into the Circle K and while you're stealing the money from the store clerk, while you're robbing her, holding her at gunpoint, you kill her, the law says that that can be -- that is capital murder and that the death penalty can be assessed against you. How do you feel about that?
 - A. (No response).
 - Q. Do you think that should be a capital offense?
 - A. I think so.
 - O. Okay. Why do you think?
- A. Because, I mean, he had a chance to think. I mean, he was going to go and rob something and, I mean, he should have known that if something was to go wrong, you know, and in order for you to get out of it, you would probably have to do something worse.
 - O. Now, when we asked you if you wanted to be a

```
juror in this case, you told the Judge no, that you did not want to be a juror in this case; and that one of the reasons or the reason why is that while you knew, you know -- you have a criminal justice background. I'm sure you find a lot of this very fascinating, but that you would not want the life of that person in your hands.

Tell me why you don't want to be a juror.
```

Tell me why you don't want to be a juror.

Is that the reason why?

A. I just -- I mean, I don't know. I've given it a lot of thought and I feel like -- I feel -- I would feel bad, you know, to have a life, you know, of a young man in my hands.

Q. Okay.

- A. I mean, maybe, you know -- I don't know. Maybe later on it changes, but after I hear everything, but, you know, right now it's -- I think about it and it's like to have a life of a person in my hands, it's hard.
- Q. Okay. Let me talk to you, then, about how this system works. You're not actually asked a question that says, "Do you think this defendant should receive the death penalty?" You're not asked that question. You don't have to check "yes" or "no."

Instead you're asked these three special right here. And based on your answers to these three questions, then the Judge makes a determination about

whether or not to impose the death penalty. 1 THE COURT: After someone is found guilty. 2 (BY MS. FISCHER) After -- yes. If the Q. 3 defendant is found guilty, if you find him guilty. 4 let's get to that point, then. Let's say you do find the 5 defendant quilty or a defendant quilty of capital murder. 6 You find that while committing robbery, he murdered 7 8 someone. The first question you're going to be 9 asked is whether there is a probability that the 10

asked is whether there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society. Do you understand what that question is asking you?

- A. If he can repeat it.
- Q. Exactly. Okay. Let's say that you do find that he may hurt again, okay? Let's say that you listen to the evidence, maybe hear about his background and think, "Yeah, he'll probably do that again."

Now, if you answer this question "yes," the defendant may receive the death penalty, okay?

A. (Nods head).

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you going to be able to answer this question honestly when in the back of your mind you know that he may receive the death penalty?
 - A. After hearing the evidence, yes.

- Q. Okay. So, then, you're not going to have any feelings in your heart that you're going to say, "I'm going to answer this 'no' because I don't want him to get the death penalty. I don't want his death on my hands"?

 A. Uh-uh. No.

 Q. Okay. Well, that's different from what you were saying a minute ago. Can you do it? Can you answer the question honestly?
- A. I mean, after hearing the evidence and everything, I mean, I think I can.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk a little bit about that question what the word "society" means. What does the word "society" mean to you?
 - A. Can you repeat it?
- Q. This question -- this word right here, society, what do you think of when you think of that word society? Who is society?
 - A. Like me or the rest of the people.
- Q. And the people you're around. So even the people in jail, they have their own society?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So you're going to be asked a question to decide whether or not he's going to be a continuing threat to society. Based on the evidence that you hear, can you answer that question honestly?

1 Α. Yes. Okay. Even knowing the defendant could be 2 0. considered for the death penalty? 3 Α. Yes. 4 The next question then asks you whether 5 Ο. Okav. the defendant actually caused the death of the deceased, 6 or did not actually cause the death of the deceased but 7 intended to kill the deceased or another, or anticipated 8 that a human life would be taken. 9 This question right here asks you to take 10 into consideration what we call the law of parties. And 11 the law of parties is the situation where Mr. Blaylock 12 had talked about before where two people go into a store, 13 the Circle K, to rob it. 14 And let's say that one goes in and the 15 other one is waiting out in the car to drive away as soon 16 as Mr. Blaylock gets the money, you know. Let's say I'm 17 18 waiting in the car to drive him away. The law of parties says we're both equally 19 quilty if we both planned, participated in the commission 20 of the crime. Even though I didn't go in the store and 21

A. I don't think it's fair.

of robbery. How do you feel about that?

22

23

24

25

Q. Why don't you think it's fair?

actually take the money, the law says I'm just as guilty

- A. Because, I mean, if that one person without even thinking about it goes and kills, and the other person -- I mean, even though he helped or he had a part in it, I mean, how did that other person know that this other person was going to kill?
- Q. Okay. Well, let's talk a little bit more, then, because it's very important that you tell me how you feel about it because the law is such that you can be considered for the death penalty if you actually cause the death of a person.

So let's say Mr. Blaylock did go into that store and he shot the store clerk while he was robbing her. You would agree with me he actually caused that store clerk to die?

- A. (Nods head).
- Q. The law says that he can receive the death penalty because while in the course of committing robbery, he murders someone. How do you feel about that?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. But now, let's add me to this. We have a plan. We're going to go into the Circle K together and we're going to rob it. We both take in our knives. We both have our weapons. And while he's over there talking to the store clerk telling her to give him the money, I'm over at the beer cooler getting some beer that we're

```
1 going to take also during our robbery.
```

The store clerk won't give him the money. So I tell him, "Well, just stab her, get her out of the way, kill her, and let's get the money and run." So he does.

Now, once again, he did the stabbing, so he actually caused the death. But even if you did not actually cause the death but you intended that they be killed, the law says that I can receive the death penalty. How do you feel about that?

- A. Well, if this other person, like you said, like if you are the one that actually told him, "Well, stab her," then they're both guilty.
- Q. Okay. Do you think I should receive the death penalty?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, the last part of this is if you anticipated that a human life would be taken, you can receive the death penalty. You can be just as guilty under the law of parties and receive the same type of punishment.

And this is probably what you were talking about a minute ago, which is why I want you to pay very particular attention to the last part of this question when you said you never know and how can one -- you know,

the driver be responsible if he didn't know.

Let's say we go into the Circle K, he and

I. We both have our knives and we both say, you know,

"We're going to get the money. That's our goal, you

know. While you're getting the money, I'm going to go

get the beer. We've got to get the money, and then let's

get out of here."

Let's say while this is going on, the store clerk won't give him the money, so he does kill her. And then we run and I didn't say the words, "Go ahead and kill her, John." I didn't say those words, but I went in there with my weapon and he went in there with his weapon, and we knew we were going to get the money.

Now, I didn't actually cause that store clerk to die as far as I didn't actually do the stabbing, but do you think I anticipated a human life might be taken?

- A. Yes, because you had that goal.
- Q. Okay. But now the law says if I anticipated that a human life would be taken, then I, too, just like Mr. Blaylock, can have the death penalty as my punishment. How do you feel about that?
 - A. I would say it's fair.
- Q. Okay. Now, that's different from what you told me before about the driver not knowing. Do you feel

comfortable with that law now that we've talked about it and I've explained it to you?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. So you could find the other party, the person who didn't pull the trigger, guilty under the law of parties if you thought the evidence was sufficient --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- and sentence them to the death penalty?
 - A. (Nods head).
- 10 Q. Okay. Or consider --
- 11 A. Consider.
 - Q. You have to answer these questions honestly is what I'm saying. And if you have a hidden idea that maybe, "Well, I don't think the person who didn't do the stabbing, I don't think they should ever receive the death penalty," then that might cause you to answer one of these questions falsely; wouldn't you agree with me?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you going to do that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. The last question, then, is what we call the mitigation question. And mitigation, basically the definition is something that makes you less morally blameworthy, makes you less to blame.
- 25 And the law says you have to consider

those things. You have to consider the evidence of the 1 case, the circumstances about the case, the certain things about the defendant that you may or may not hear, and also the defendant's role in this.

And then you have to find if there are some mitigating circumstances or even a mitigating circumstance to warrant life in prison rather than death. The law says you have to make that consideration, okay?

Is there anything about your feelings about the death penalty that are always going to make you say, "I think life is better than death"?

Α. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

. 11

- Okay. So you can consider both punishments and 0. you can answer this question honestly? If you do hear something that's mitigating, then you can say, "Yes, I do think there's something that maybe -- that life is better"? What if you don't hear anything good about the defendant? Can you say, "Death is better than life"?
 - Α. Yes.
- Okay. There are a couple of things about the Q. law that are sometimes contrary to what we think, you know, the law ought to be. One of those is that in the State of Texas, a husband doesn't have to testify against the wife. How do you feel about that law?
 - I would say it's fair. Α.

co-defendants.

Q. Okay. Even if the wife saw the crime being committed, she does not have to testify; and I can't force her to testify, okay? Are you going to hold it against me if you don't hear from the wife?

A. No.

Q. Okay. The same thing goes for co-defendants; and co-defendants are people who commit a crime together.

Let's say Mr. Blaylock and I went and robbed that

Circle K together, then we would be considered

They can't make him testify against me.

The State can't force a codefendant to get up there and testify. He has a right to remain silent just like the defendant does. How do you feel about that?

- A. I would say the defendant would want to say something on his part, but I mean --
- Q. Okay. Now, that's another part of the law that's very important. The defendant here in the State of Texas has a constitutional right to remain silent. He doesn't have to say anything and he doesn't have to put on any evidence. How do you feel about that?
 - A. I would feel sad on his part.
- Q. Okay. Let's say he didn't take the stand.

 Let's say he didn't get up there and say anything on his behalf, didn't say anything to try and save himself.

```
Would you hold that against him?
 1
          Α.
               No.
 2
               The law says that you can't. Can you follow
 3
          0.
     the law?
 4
 5
          Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. Now, what about that codefendant, are
          Q.
 6
     you going to hold it against him if he doesn't get up
 7
     there and say what he saw?
 8
 9
          Α.
               No.
               Okay. Now, sometimes codefendants do testify.
          Q.
10
     They do get up there. Let's say Mr. Blaylock cuts a
11
     deal; and in exchange for his truthful testimony, they
12
     offer him half the amount of time they offer me. Let's
13
     say they say, "Okay. You can do 25 years instead of 50."
14
     How do you feel about somebody doing that, cutting a
15
     deal?
16
               They're betraying that other person.
17
          Α.
               Okay. Do you think it makes them
18
          Q.
19
     untrustworthy?
          Α.
               Yes.
20
21
          Q.
               Why?
               Because, I mean, to do the crime, they trusted
22
          Α.
     each other, but then they can't trust each other after, I
23
     mean, they get caught.
24
```

Do you think he might come in here and lie to

```
try and save his own skin?
 1
          Α.
               Yes.
 2
               How can you tell if he's telling the truth?
 3
          0.
     How can you tell if any person is telling the truth?
 4
               You can't tell. It's that inner feeling, I
          Α.
 5
 6
     quess.
               Okay. What do you do in a situation where
 7
     you're trying to make a determination about whether
 8
     someone's telling you the truth?
 9
               Just hear whatever they have to say.
          Α.
10
               Okay. Do you watch them, watch their demeanor,
11
          Q.
     watch how they act?
12
          Α.
               Yes.
13
               Okay. Could you do the same to the witnesses
14
          Ο.
     in this case?
15
16
          Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. Because you're going to have to make a
          Ο.
17
     judgment call as to whether or not you think they're
18
     telling the truth, okay? Can you treat them all the
19
     same, whether they be cops, whether they be doctors,
20
     whether they be a codefendant?
21
          Α.
               Yes.
22
               How can you tell if somebody intentionally --
23
          Ο.
     and you had said this before, about an intentional
24
     killing. How can you tell if somebody intends to do
25
```

- something? Can you get in a person's mind and see what they're thinking?

 A. No.
 - Q. Then how do you tell?
 - A. You can't tell.
 - Q. What if I go over here and I go like this to Mr. Blaylock? What did I intend to do?
 - A. Push him.
 - Q. Okay. What if I said, "I didn't want to push him. It was an accident. As I was getting up from my chair, I accidentally brushed up against him"? What if I said that, would you believe me?
 - A. No.

- Q. Why?
- A. Because, I mean, just by the way you did it, you can tell it was intentional.
- Q. Okay. Is there anything that you want to ask me about this case or maybe about your feelings about the death penalty? Because this is the last time that we get to visit. Once the trial starts and you're chosen as a juror, I'm not allowed to talk to you. Is there any questions -- are there any questions you have about the system or any questions about this case in particular?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Anything that I haven't asked you that

you think is important for me to know about whether or 1 2 not you'd be a good juror in this case? I don't have any questions. 3 Α. Okay. Well, then, let's go back to that answer Q. 4 where we asked you, "Do you want to be a juror?" And you 5 said no, because you didn't want a person's life in your 6 hands. If you sit as a juror and you take an oath that 7 you will answer everything honestly and follow the law, 8 can you do that or are you going to have such bad 9 feelings about maybe sentencing someone to the death 10 penalty that you could not be fair? 11 I would feel uncomfortable, but I think I -- I 12 Α. mean, after hearing everything that -- I mean, I can do 13 it. 14 Okay. It is a tough decision. It's tough for 15 Ο. every one of us in this room to do any of this. 16 need to know, can you do it? Can you follow the law and 17 put any personal feelings you may have aside? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. Okay. MS. FISCHER: I don't have any further 21 questions, Your Honor. 22

25

23

24

PAM L. ESQUIVEL, CSR, RPR

THE COURT: You may.

MR. GALARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor?

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. GALARZA:

1.5

- Q. It's Rosa Delia Hernandez; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Good afternoon. My name is Santiago Galarza.

 I believe we were introduced at the time of voir dire.

 My co-counsel is Daniel Reyes. He's not here today. And we both represent Mr. Gutierrez in this case.

Let me go back to what you were talking about in the questionnaire and what Ms. Fischer -- and you stated in your questionnaire that you would feel scared to know that the life of a person is in your hands. She's gone over all the -- or a lot of the procedure and as to what happens and what you have to go ahead and do as a juror.

At this point do you feel that you could set that aside and just go ahead and serve and be fair and impartial in this case? Do you feel that because somebody's life is in your hands that you will have something in the back of your mind that would prohibit you from serving in this case?

- A. Like I said, I mean, after hearing the evidence, I don't think there would be any problem.
- Q. Okay. So you would be fair and impartial? You would listen to all the evidence and you would decide

based on the testimony? 1 2 Α. Yes. Okay. I believe at the time of -- well, when 3 Q. you went ahead and filled out the questionnaire and when you were asked last Tuesday if you knew any of the 5 parties, I believe you stated no; is that correct? 6 7 That's correct. Okay. And there was a long list of names that Ο. 8 were given to you or that were read to you. You don't 9 know any of those names either? 10 Α. No, sir. 11 12 Okay. Let me give you a couple of more names Q. and just tell me if you know them or not. Claudia Leyva, 13 she's a dispatcher with the Brownsville Police 14 15 Department? 16 Α. No. 17 Ο. Tina Hauff, she lives here in Brownsville? Α. No. 18 19 Q. Roberto Gonzalez, he's at Cameron County jail here in Brownsville? 20 21 Α. No. Tino Ortiz? 22 0. 23 Α. No. And Escolastica Harrison also known as 24 Ο. Escolastica Cuellar? 25

Α. No. 1 Okay. If it happens that once a person comes 2 Ο. in to testify and once you see him when you're sitting 3 down as a juror, if at that point you realize that you 4 5 know that person, would it affect you in any way? Α. No. 6 Would their testimony -- would you 7 Ο. automatically believe them a lot more just because you 8 9 know them? Α. No. 10 So you would listen to the testimony, listen to 0. 11 the rest of the testimony, and give it the weight it 12 deserves? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Here in Texas, would you agree with me that 15 Ο. once a person is arrested, that's not evidence that he's 16 automatically quilty of the offense; is that correct? 17 That is correct. 18 Α. So, he's still innocent of whatever he's been 19 Q. arrested for? 20 That's right. 21 Α. Okay. The procedure usually is that once a 22 0. person is arrested, then at that point the law 23

enforcement agency, which is maybe Brownsville Police

Department, San Benito Police Department or any agency,

24

```
goes ahead and prepares their file.
```

They get all the statements that they need from police officers, witnesses, whoever they need to get a statement from. They take pictures if they need any pictures. And they just go ahead and prepare the file.

Once they feel that the file is complete, then at that point they turn it over to the D.A.'s Office. At that point the D.A.'s Office prepares it and they present it to what we call the grand jury. Do you know what the grand jury is?

A. Yes.

- Q. What's a grand jury?
- A. It's the court higher.
- Q. Okay. The grand jury is the people that actually look at their advice and the evidence that there is in this case, and they're the ones that actually say if they can proceed with the case, "Yes, you can proceed with the case," or "No, don't proceed with the case."

If they say, "Yes, go ahead and proceed with the case," which means they true billed it, then at that point the indictment is what they sign so they can proceed with the case and it's filed in one of the district courts.

Just because a grand jury signs an indictment, does that mean that the person is

automatically guilty?

A. No.

2.0

- Q. Why not?
- A. Because they haven't heard the evidence.
- Q. They haven't heard the complete evidence or the accused might not have been there at the time that they heard some evidence; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that at that point and also at the arrest or if a person is arrested, if he might still be in jail and he's been indicted, would you agree with me that he's still innocent as to that point?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's because no evidence has been presented; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. In a case -- like an example, this type of case, the State has to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt. What beyond a reasonable doubt means -- and let me read it to you. It's right here on this side.

"A reasonable double is a doubt based on reason and common sense after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. It is the

```
kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person
 1
     hesitate to act in the most important of his own
 2
     affairs." Okay?
 3
                    What they're advising you to do is your
 4
     use your reasoning, use your common sense, okay? Look at
 5
     the evidence, listen to the testimony, and give it the
 6
 7
     weight it deserves. If two people come in to testify, if
     one person says one thing, the other person says the
 8
     other thing, okay, you're going to be using your common
 9
     sense to determine who you're going to go ahead and
10
     believe, okay?
11
               (Nods head).
12
          Α.
               Do you follow me so far?
13
          0.
               Yes.
14
          Α.
15
          Q.
               Do you agree with that?
               Yes.
16
          Α.
               Okay. You're willing to use your common sense
17
          Ο.
     and believe either one party or the other party, whatever
18
     your feelings are that is telling the truth?
19
          Α.
               Yes.
20
               The second paragraph reads, "Reasonable doubt
21
          0.
```

Q. The second paragraph reads, "Reasonable doubt therefore must be proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs."

Have you ever bought a car?

22

23

24

Α. Yes. 1 When you bought a car, was it a brand-new car Ο. 2 or was it a used car? 3 It was a brand-new car. Α. 4 Did you automatically just go and once you saw 5 Ο. the car, you automatically just said, "This is the one 6 I'm going to take"? 7 8 Α. (No response). Or did you do some checking around? 9 Ο. I did some checking around: Α. 10 So your common sense is -- tells you not to go 11 Ο. automatically. If the sticker price on the new car says 12 20,000, you know that he might be able to lower that 13 price; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 So using your common sense, you're not going to 16 Ο. agree to pay 20,000 at that point? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 So that's the same thing that we're telling you 19 Ο. to do in this type of case. Use your common sense, like 20 I told you again, and go ahead and listen to the 21 testimony and determine who's telling the truth, who's 22 23 lying, and use your reasoning to determine that, okay? 24 Α. (Nods head).

Do you agree to follow that?

25

Ο.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. In this type of case the State has to prove all the elements beyond a reasonable doubt. The elements are right here on my left-hand side, which is your right-hand side.

They read, number one, the defendant; number two, on or about the 5th day of September, 1998; number three, in Cameron County, Texas, number four, intentionally; number five, caused the death of an individual by stabbing the individual with a screwdriver or object unknown to the grand jury, or by striking the individual with an object unknown to the grand jury, or by causing the individual to impact with an object unknown to the grand jury; element number six, and the said defendant was then and there in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of robbery of the individual.

In order for a person to be charged of capital murder, they need to prove murder plus another felony, okay? In this case they need to prove number five, that the person was actually murdered, okay, and number six, that he intended to commit robbery. They need to prove all six elements beyond a reasonable doubt.

Have you ever played the lotto on Wednesdays and Sundays -- and Saturdays? I'm sorry.

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. If you're playing the lotto and you get five out of six numbers, do you automatically get the jackpot, the whole jackpot?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
 - A. Because you only got five numbers.
- Q. Okay. So it's the same thing here. We're asking you to do the same thing, okay, that the State needs to prove every single element. You go to number one, did they prove it beyond a reasonable doubt? Using your common sense, you look at the evidence, and you determine "yes" or "no."

Using number two, the same thing. You go all the way to determine whether they proved every single element beyond a reasonable doubt.

In element number six, let me tell you what robbery is; and I'll read you the definition. "A person commits an offense of robbery if, in the course of committing theft and with intent to obtain or maintain control of the property, he intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causes bodily injury to another, or intentionally or knowingly threatens or places another in fear of imminent bodily injury or death."

Okay. Would you agree with me that this

```
is against a person?
1
         Α.
              Yes.
2
              Okay. So if somebody goes to you and they try
3
         Q.
    to take a ring that you have, they tell you, "Give me the
4
    ring or I'll kill you," that's robbery. Would you agree
5
    with me?
6
         Α.
              Yes.
7
```

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. If somebody just goes to you or goes to me and tries to take something from me, they kill me at the time that they're trying to take it away, at that point -- or recklessly causes bodily injury. They don't kill me, but they cause bodily injury to me, that's robbery. Do you follow me so far?
- Q. Burglary, "A person commits an offense of burglary without the effective consent -- if, without the effective consent of the owner, he enters a habitation or a building not then open to the public with intent to commit a felony or a theft, or remain concealed with intent to commit a felony or a theft in a building or habitation, or enters a building or habitation and commits or attempts to commit a felony or a theft."

 Would you agree with me that this is done against a building or a habitation, burglary?

A. Yes.

Α.

Yes.

```
Okay. It's not done against a person, directly
 1
     against a person?
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
                    MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, may we approach
 4
     at this point? I just briefly want to confer with the
 5
 6
     Court.
                    THE COURT:
                                All right.
 7
                    (Off the record discussion at the bench)
 8
                    THE COURT: Let me take up a legal matter
 9
    with the lawyers and I'll call you right back. Will you
10
     step down for a few minutes? Thank you.
11
                    (Prospective juror left the courtroom)
12
                    THE COURT: Go ahead.
13
                    MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, at this time the
14
     State will exercise its eighth peremptory strike against
15
    Ms. Hernandez.
16
                                  No objection, Your Honor.
                    MR. GALARZA:
17
                    THE COURT: All right. Bring her in.
18
                    THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
19
                    THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Hernandez.
20
     all the questions we have for you today. We appreciate
21
    you coming back this afternoon; and you're excused to go.
22
                                    Thank you.
                    MS. HERNANDEZ:
23
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
24
25
                    MR. GALARZA: Thank you.
```

1	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Perez.
2	MR. PEREZ: Hello.
3	THE COURT: How are you?
4	MR. PEREZ: I'm fine.
5	THE COURT: Good. I'm sorry for the
6	delay, first of all, but some questioning takes longer
7	with some people than others. So we're ready to start at
8	this time. Could I ask you just to speak into the
9	microphone. If you want to adjust it, you can go ahead
10	and adjust it to your height. And the lawyers have a few
11	more questions to ask, okay?
12	MR. PEREZ: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.
14	ERNESTO PEREZ,
15	having been called as a prospective juror and, upon
16	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
17	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. FISCHER:
19	Q. Good afternoon, sir. How are you doing?
20	A. I'm doing fine.
21	Q. My name is Karen Fischer. This is John
22	Blaylock. He's the one that spoke with you all last
23	week. We work for the Cameron County District Attorney's
24	Office. That means we represent the people of Cameron
25	County here today.
	į.

Now, I know that -- I've read your 1 questionnaire; and I appreciate you filling that out for 2 And I know that you told us that you have some 3 problems with your eye. 4 Α. Yeah. 5 6 Ο. Is that going to cause you any problems if you were selected as a juror in this case? 7 Α. No. 8 Okay. Tell me what kind of -- obviously, I 9 0. mean, you did a fine job in filling out the 10 questionnaire. Did you have any problems reading any of 11 the questionnaire? 12 Α. No. 13 What kind of problems does your left eye give 14 Ο. you? 15 I can't see from it. 16 Α. Okay. We have some like boards up over here 17 that have some special issue questions on it that kind of 18 discuss the law. Can you see these? 19 20 Α. Uh-huh. Okay. Do you have any problem reading with 21 Ο. 22 those? Just the bottom ones a little bit. 23 Α. Okay. Is that because the print's too small? 24 Q. 25 Α. Uh-huh. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, during the course of this trial there probably will be diagrams and perhaps reading that you may have to do. Will you be able to do that?
- A. I'll be able to see it, but I don't know about that small print.
- Q. Okay. If we got it up closer, would you be able to see it?
 - A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. If you become a juror, will you remind us that you need to see things up close? Can you do that?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. A long as you can read it, that's fine, but just remind us that you may need to see something up close.
- A. Okay.
- 16 O. Just remind me if I do that also.

In your questionnaire we asked you a lot of questions about your feelings about the death penalty and about your feelings about a lot of different laws.

- A. Yes.
- Q. So we do know a lot about you. And I know that from reading your questionnaire you're generally in favor of capital punishment; you think it's a good idea?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me why you think it's a good idea.

- A. Without it, there wouldn't be -- there would be more crime, I quess.
- Q. Okay. Now, this trial and at any trial in the State of Texas, we have a two-part system. Now, the first part of your job if you're a juror is you have to make a decision about whether or not the defendant is guilty.

And if you do find the defendant guilty, then you're asked to consider the death penalty. And you're asked to do that by answering a series of questions; and that's those questions up there on that board, okay?

And it's my job or our job to prove the case to you beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what the standard of the law is, beyond a reasonable doubt. When we asked you -- and that's why I want to talk to you.

One of the specific questions is you -- we asked you,

"Should the State be required to prove their case beyond all doubt in a capital murder prosecution?"

Tell me why you think that I should have to prove my case beyond all doubt.

- A. I don't understand that.
- Q. Okay. Let me kind of -- let me explain it to you like this. The law says that I have to prove the case to you beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what the

standard is. And that means I have to -- you have to use your reason and your common sense; and after a careful and impartial consideration, you have to make a decision.

Okay. Beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean beyond all doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt.

- A. Okay. I understand now.
- Q. Okay. Do you see what I'm saying? It's kind of like if you were going to go and you were going to buy a home. You know, you'd probably think about it for a long time. Have you ever bought your own home?
 - A. No.

- Q. Okay. I haven't either, but, you know, I've been thinking about it for a very long time. And you would think about it and you would make some careful and impartial decisions, right? And you may have some doubts along the way, but you would research it and listen to what people had to say. And then if you thought it was right, you'd buy the house. Wouldn't you agree with me on that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. That's kind of like what beyond a reasonable doubt is.
 - A. Oh, okay.
- Q. You may not be 100 percent sure, but you've researched it and you've listened to the evidence, you

know, you could make a decision, okay? So that's what
the law is. I just need to make sure you can follow the
law.

A. Okay. Yes.

Q. Okay. When we asked you if you wanted to be a
juror in this case, you checked "no." But then you said,

- 7 "My decision tends to be more for as long as the facts 8 are put on the table." Then you will evaluate
- 9 everything. Do you think you can be fair and impartial?
- 10 A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. That's what you have to be. If you can do that, then you'll make a good juror in the case.

When you said no, is there any particular reason why you don't think you'd be a good juror?

- A. I have no answer right now.
- Q. Okay. Do you think you would make a good juror?
 - A. (No response).
 - Q. Could you listen to the evidence and be fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, in the event that you do find the defendant guilty of capital murder -- and the capital murder charge in this case is if while in the course of committing robbery, Ms. Harrison was killed, we proved that to you beyond a reasonable doubt, that is a capital

```
offense, if you kill someone while in the committing
 1
     robbery, okay?
 2
                    Are you okay with that law? Can you
 3
     follow that law? Do you think it's a good idea?
 4
               It's a good idea.
          Α.
 5
               Okay. If you find him quilty, then you're
 6
          Ο.
     asked to consider these special questions. And based on
 7
     your answers to these questions, that tells the Court
 8
     whether or not to impose the death penalty.
 9
                    The first question you're asked is whether
10
     there is a probability that the defendant would commit
11
     criminal acts of violence that would constitute a
12
     continuing threat to society.
13
                    Basically this question is asking you, "Do
14
     you think the defendant is going to hurt again? Is he a
15
     future danger?" Okay.
16
                    Can you tell me how you would be able to
17
     decide if someone were a future danger to society?
18
               Well, we can't decide because some of us --
19
     they get sent to prison. As soon as they get out, they
20
21
     do it again.
               Well, I noticed that you were a jailer at one
22
          Q.
```

A. Uh-huh. Yes.

23

24

- Q. Okay. Do you think you can make it -- do
 you -- I mean, if you heard evidence maybe about the
 defendant's background or things that you knew about him
 from his past, do you think you can make a determination
 that a defendant might hurt again?
 A. I don't know how to answer that.
 Q. Okay. The law is going to ask you that
- Q. Okay. The law is going to ask you that question. This question is going to be there in that law that the Judge gives you. And you're going to have to listen to what you hear about the case, about the circumstances, about the killing, as well as some things about the defendant. And then you're going to be asked whether you think that he may hurt again, okay?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Okay. You're going to be asked that question; and you're going to have to answer it either "yes" or "no." Can you do that?
 - A. (Nods head).
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about this. You have to decide whether the defendant will be a continuing threat to society. What does "society" mean to you?
- A. Society is -- I don't know how to say it. The United States as a whole.
- Q. Okay. What about when you were a jailer at the Willacy County jail -- was that at the state jail

```
there --
 1
               In Raymondville.
 2
          Α.
               -- up on 77? Okay. Would you agree that your
          Ο.
 3
     society was the folks there in the jail with you; that
 4
     that was your society?
 5
          Α.
               Yes.
 6
               Okay. And including the inmates and you as a
 7
          Ο.
     jailer?
 8
               (Nods head).
 9
          Α.
               Okay. And wouldn't you agree with me that
10
          Q.
     sometimes people in jail can be a continuing threat to
11
               Were those inmates a threat to you?
12
     society?
          Α.
               Yes.
13
               Okay. But now, the real important question is
14
          Q.
     because of your experience as a jailer, do you have any
15
     prejudice or bias against defendants in general or can
16
17
     you be fair?
18
          Α.
               I don't know.
          Q.
               The law says you have to set those personal
19
     feelings aside, that you can't come in here thinking that
20
     a defendant's already guilty or you can't come in here
21
     thinking, "Well, I'm going to give him the death
22
     penalty, " that you have to be fair and impartial as you
23
     sit here right now because you don't know anything about
24
```

the case. Have you heard anything about this case in

STATE OF TEXAS VS. RUBEN GUTIERREZ

```
particular?
 1
          Α.
               No.
 2
               Did you know Ms. Harrison?
          0.
 3
               (Shake head).
          Α.
               Okay. Did you grow up here in Brownsville?
 5
          0.
               No.
 6
          Α.
               Okay. Where did you grow up?
 7
          Q.
          Α.
               San Benito.
 8
               Okay. Ms. Harrison was a school teacher here
 9
          Ο.
     in Brownsville. So if you didn't know anything about her
10
     or you don't know anything about the case, I need to know
11
     if you can be fair and impartial right now as you sit
12
     here in this courtroom.
13
               I would listen to the facts.
14
               Okay. And then make your decision?
15
          Ο.
               (Nods head).
16
          Α.
               Okay. So you don't think now just because --
17
          Q.
                    THE COURT: You need to verbalize your
18
19
     answer.
               (BY MS. FISCHER) I'm sorry. Mr. Perez, she's
20
          Ο.
     going to take down everything you say. So I need to make
21
     sure that you say it so that she can hear it.
22
23
          Α.
               Okav.
               Let me ask you the question again. Because of
24
     your past experiences, can you put any personal feelings
25
```

```
you may have aside and be fair and impartial in this
 1
 2
     case?
               I would be fair and impartial.
 3
               Okay. The next part of this question, then, is
 4
          0.
     what we call the law of parties. And it's a real long
 5
     question, but have you heard of the law of parties? Do
 6
     you understand the concept that when two people are
 7
     robbing a bank, the person that goes in to get the money
 8
     is just as quilty as the get-away driver?
 9
          Α.
10
               Yes.
               Are you okay with that law?
11
          Q.
          Α.
              (Nods head).
12
13
          Q.
               Okay.
                    THE COURT: You need to answer, please.
14
15
          Α.
               Yes.
                    THE COURT:
                                Thank you.
16
               (BY MS. FISCHER) She can't take down a nod of
17
          0.
                She's got to hear you.
18
     the head.
                    This question says that you can be
19
     subjected to the death penalty if you actually cause the
20
     death of the deceased. Let's say you go into the
21
     Circle K, you rob it and you shoot the store clerk.
22
```

That's capital murder and you can -- the death penalty

could be an appropriate punishment.

23

2.4

25

PAM L. ESQUIVEL, CSR, RPR

Even if you don't actually cause the death

```
of the deceased, if you intended for them to die, then you can also receive the death penalty. And that's kind of in the situation where two people go into the Circle K; and one of them goes to get the money, the other goes to get the beer. Let's say the store clerk won't give up the money. And so the other one -- let's say I'm the one over here by the beer. I say, "Well, just kill him and let's go."
```

Obviously, the killer could get the death penalty. He actually caused the death. What about the one that didn't do the killing but said, "Go ahead and kill him"? How do you feel about that?

- A. I would say he would get a lighter sentence.
- Q. Okay. Rather than death?
- A. (Nods head).
 - Q. Why do you say that?
- A. I don't know, but he didn't actually pull the trigger.
- Q. Okay. But the law says you can consider him for the death penalty if he intended for the person to be killed. If I say -- let's say Mr. Blaylock and I go into the Circle K and I tell him -- when the clerk won't give him the money, I say, "John, kill her," did I intend for the store clerk to die?
 - A. Yes. I understand now.